



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. PHNL 000550 7627 10/17/2001 Robbert Christiaan Van Ommering 09/982,241 **EXAMINER** 08/02/2004 24737 7590 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS BULLOCK JR, LEWIS ALEXANDER P.O. BOX 3001 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 2126

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		سہ ا ا
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/982,241	VAN OMMERING, ROBBERT CHRISTIAAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	2126
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thie eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Application Papers	,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2001 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ c the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/4/02. 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademady Office		

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification does not break down the disclosure into the suggested sections.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2126

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered. In particular foreign application EP 0271945 B1 has not been considered and must be submitted for consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by O'ROURKE (U.S. Patent 6,212,574).

As to claim 1, O'ROURKE teaches in an audio apparatus (special purpose or general computer that performs audio processing) (col. 7, lines 50-67; col. 9, lines 39-47), a method of controlling an arrangement of a plurality of hardware components

Art Unit: 2126

(kernel mode filters), at least some of which are coupled to one another via signal leads (connection of pins) (col. 12, lines 2-9), by means of a data processing unit (CPU) (col. 7, lines 62-67; col. 8, lines 23-27) and a computer program (executable instructions) which is executed therein, characterized in that the computer program comprises submodules (proxy filters) which correspond to the hardware components (corresponding kernel mode filters) and are connected via data channels (virtual connections of pins in proxy filters) in conformity with the real signal leads (connection of pins) between the hardware components (col. 11, lines 1-22; col. 11, line 65 – col. 12, line 19; col. 13, lines 13-25; col. 13, lines 38-58).

As to claim 2, O'ROURKE teaches that the data channels (pin connections) between the sub-modules (proxy filters) are adapted in conformity with the dynamic changing of the signal leads (pin connections) between the hardware components (kernel mode filters) (col. 5, lines 20-25).

As to claim 3, O'ROURKE teaches all data channels utilize the same communication protocol (COM) (col. 12, line 52 – col. 13, line 4; col. 13, line 22-34).

As to claim 4, O'ROURKE teaches that the hardware components are core cells (drivers / kernel mode functionality) (col. 7, lines 19-48).

As to claims 5 and 6, reference is made to an audio apparatus that corresponds to the method of claim 1 and is therefore met by the rejection of claim 1 above.

Art Unit: 2126

4. Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WOLD (U.S. Patent 5,386,568).

As to claim 1, WOLD teaches in an audio apparatus (music synthesizer) (col. 19, lines 57-61; col. 5, lines 26-31), a method of controlling an arrangement of a plurality of hardware components (hardware devices) at least some of which are coupled to one another via signal leads (cables / wires), by means of a data processing unit (embedded processors) (col. 19, lines 28-31; col. 19, lines 42-46; col. 19, line 55 – col. 20, line 3) and a computer program (software) which is executed therein, characterized in that the computer program comprises sub-modules (software modules) (col. 11, lines 2-5; col. 4, lines 65-67) which correspond (are associated) to the hardware components (hardware devices) and are connected via data channels (via input / output communication software connections) in conformity with the real signal leads (cables / wires) between the hardware components (hardware devices) (col. 11, lines 7-33; col. 12, lines 43-66; col. 19, lines 2-5; col. 19, lines 34-42; col. 9, lines 61-68; col. 20, lines 14-17).

As to claim 3, WOLD teaches all data channels utilize the same communication protocol (col. 20, lines 17-19; col. 11, lines 2-25).

As to claim 4, WOLD teaches that the hardware components are printed circuit boards, layout cells, microchips and/or core cells (col. 19, lines 57-61).

Art Unit: 2126

As to claims 5 and 6, reference is made to an audio apparatus that corresponds to the method of claim 1 and is therefore met by the rejection of claim 1 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lewis A. Bullock, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0439. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 22, 2004

LEWIS A. BULLOCK, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER